- [3] a) U. Pindur, Y.-S. Kim, F. Mehrabani, Curr. Med. Chem. 1999, 6, 29;
  b) D. T. Hung, T. F. Jamison, S. L. Schreiber, Chem. Bio. 1996, 3, 623;
  c) J. Kleinschroth, J. Hartenstein, C. Schaechtele, C. Rudolph, H. Barth, J. Aranda, H. J. Betche, , Ger. Offen. DE 4217964 1993;
  [Chem. Abstr. 1994, 120, 270356c]; d) Y. Ikuina, C. Murakata, Y. Saitoh, Y. Shiotsu, T. Iida, T. Tamaoki, K. Yamashita, S. Akinaga, PCT Int. Appl. WO 9628,447; [Chem. Abstr. 1996, 125, 300982b]; e) S. Omura, Y. Sasaki, Y. Iwai, H. Takeshima, J. Antibiot. 1995, 48, 535.
- [4] For palladium acetate promoted cyclizations, see: a) H. Hagelin, J. D. Oslob, B. Akermark, Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, 2413; for oxidative cyclizations of tricarbonyl(1,3-cyclohexadienyl)iron complexes, see: b) H.-J. Knölker, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 151; for Diels—Alder approaches, see: c) U. Pindur, H. Erfanian-Abdoust, Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1681; for cobalt-mediated cycloadditions of alkynes with the indole 2,3 double bond, see: d) D. B. Grotjahn, K. P. C. Vollhardt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 2091; e) R. Boese, A. P. Van Sickle, K. P. C. Vollhardt, Synthesis 1994, 1374.
- [5] K. Sonogashira in Metal-catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions (Eds.: F. Diederich, P. J. Stang), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998.
- [6] a) B. Witulski, T. Stengel, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 495; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 489; b) B. Witulski, T. Stengel, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 2521; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2426; c) B. Witulski, M. Gößmann, Chem. Commun. 1999, 1879; d) B. Witulski, N. Buschmann, U. Bergsträßer, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8473; e) B. Witulski, M. Gößmann, Synlett 2000, 1793; for other contributions to the chemistry of ynamides, see: f) D. Brückner, Synlett 2000, 1402; g) R. W. Hoffmann, D. Brückner, New. J. Chem. 2001, 25, 369; h) J. D. Rainier, J. E. Imbriglio, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 7272; i) J. A. Mulder, R. P. Hsung, M. O. Frederick, M. R. Tracey, C. A. Zificsak, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1383; j) L.-L. Wei, J. A. Mulder, H. Xiong, C. A. Zificsak, C. J. Douglas, R. P. Hsung, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 459; k) S. Minière, J.-C. Cintrat, Synthesis 2001, 705; l) N. Saito, Y. Sato, M. Mori, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 809.
- [7] Reviews: a) S. Saito, Y. Yamamoto, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2901; b) H.-W. Frühauf, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 523; c) D. B. Grotjahn in Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II, Vol. 12 (Eds.: E. W. Abel, F. G. A. Stone, G. Wilkinson), Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, pp. 741–770; d) N. E. Shore in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis: Selectivity, Strategy, and Efficiency in Modern Organic Chemistry, Vol. 5 (Eds.: B. M. Trost, I. Flemming, L. A. Paquette), Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, pp. 1129; e) K. P. C. Vollhardt, Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 525; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 539.
- [8] for alkyne cyclotrimerizations with Wilkinsons's catalyst [RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], see: a) E. Müller, Synthesis 1974, 761; b) R. Grigg, R. Scott, P. Stevenson, Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 2691; c) R. Grigg, R. Scott, P. Stevenson, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 1357; d) P. Magnus, D. Witty, A. Stamford, Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 23; e) F. E. McDonald, H. Y. H. Zhu, C. R. Holmquist, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 6605; f) R. Grigg, V. Sridharan, J. Wang, J. Xu, Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 8967; g) B. Witulski, T. Stengel, J. M. Fernández-Hernández, Chem. Commun. 2000, 1965; h) F. E. McDonald, V. Smolentsev, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 745.
- [9] Isomers of 4 were separated by either chromatography on silica gel (4f-g, 4k, and 4l), crystallization (4i), or after detosylation with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) (4h and 4j).
- [10] T.-S. Wu, S.-C. Huang, P.-L. Wu, Phytochemistry 1996, 43, 1427.
- [11] A. Yasuhara, T. Sakamoto, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 595.
- [12] J. H. Cardellina II, M. P. Kirkup, R. E. Moore, J. S. Mynderse, K. Seff, C. J. Simmons, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1979, 4915.
- [13] a) S. Kano, E. Sugino, S. Hibino, Chem. Commun. 1980, 1241; b) S. Takano, Y. Suzuki, K. Ogasawara, Heterocycles 1981, 16, 1479; c) C. J. Moody, P. Shah, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1989, 376; d) T. Kawasaki, Y. Nonaka, M. Sakamoto, Chem. Commun. 1989, 43; e) R. L. Danheiser, R. G. Brisbois, J. J. Kowalczyk, R. F. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3093; f) E. M. Beccalli, A. Marchesini, T. Pilati, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 579; g) T. Choshi, T. Sada, H. Fujimoto, C. Nagayama, E. Sugino, S. Hibino, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 2535; h) H.-J. Knölker, E. Baum, T. Hopfmann, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 5339; i) H.-J. Knölker, E. Baum, T. Hopfmann, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 10391.

## Transannular Radical Cascade as an Approach to the Diastereoselective Synthesis of Linear Triquinane\*\*

Anne-Lise Dhimane, Christophe Aïssa, and Max Malacria\*

Diastereoselective constructions of polycyclic structures such as triquinanes by 5-exo-radical-cyclization cascade reactions that start from a templating ring are well-known;<sup>[1]</sup> from acyclic systems only a few such reactions involve diastereoselective processes. <sup>[2]</sup> However, transannular cyclizations, which are nowadays frequently used as a key step in the synthesis of polycyclic frameworks,<sup>[3]</sup> have been poorly described as an efficient means of reaching linear triquinane systems diastereoselectively. There is only one specific example reported by Winkler in which linear triquinanes were obtained as a mixture of diastereomers, from suitably substituted cycloocta-1,5-dienes, by a unique transannular process.<sup>[4]</sup>

During the last decade, we have been interested in the development of highly chemo-, regio-, and stereoselective cascade processes which rely on radical transannular reactions.<sup>[5]</sup> The diastereoselective total synthesis of the protoilludane epi-illudol, which has an angularly fused 4,6,5tricyclic framework, was achieved by a cascade of radical transannular cyclizations from a (bromomethyl)dimethylsilyl (BMDMS) ether of a cycloundeca-4,8-dien-1-yne (Scheme 1).[5b] By following the same type of cascade, we believed that switching the BMDMS-ether tether from the C-1 atom to the C-4 atom should now lead to a triquinane skeleton of type 1. We anticipated that the disubstituted double-bond geometry would be crucial for the behavior of the transannular cascade. In fact, when the Z,E precursor 2 was submitted to radical cyclization conditions, the generated vinyl radical cyclized regioselectively in a 6-endo mode, leading to the 6,7-bicyclic compound 4(Scheme 1).[5c] In contrast, we report herein the stereoselective construction of a linear triquinane through an unprecedented cascade of diastereoselective transannular cyclizations from an E,E pre-

Access to precursor **3** was envisaged by following a similar strategy to that developed for the eleven-membered ring **2**, by using Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi-Takai (NHKT) macrocyclization as the key step. Thus, *E*-heptenal **6** (as a common precursor), [6] was subjected to the mild Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons olefination conditions described by Masamune and Roush<sup>[7]</sup> to furnish, after tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) mediated cleavage of the resulting silylated ether, the E- $\alpha$ , $\beta$ -unsaturated ester **7**. Dess-Martin oxidation<sup>[8]</sup> of the homoal-

 <sup>[\*]</sup> Prof. M. Malacria, Dr. A.-L. Dhimane, Dr. C. Aïssa Laboratoire de Chimie Organique de Synthèse UMR 7611, CNRS- Université Pierre et Marie Curie
 4, place Jussieu, Tour 44-54, Case 229, 75252 Paris Cedex 05 (France) Fax: (+33)1-44-27-73-60
 E-mail: malacria@ccr.jussieu.fr

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> C.A. acknowledges the M.R.E.S. for financial support.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

Scheme 1. Transannular radical cyclizations cascade reactions. TBS = tert-butyldimethylsilyl.

lylic alcohol moiety, followed by condensation of the in situ generated organocerium derivative of trimethylsilylacetylene, [9] with the crude  $\beta$ , $\gamma$ -unsaturated aldehyde thus provided ynol **8**. A sequential deprotection of the alkyne/O-silylation led to the propargylic silyl ether **9**. Reduction of **9** and subsequent oxidation of the resulting allylic alcohol gave the expected undecadienynal, which was submitted to mild iodination conditions[10] to give the iodoalkyne **5** (Scheme 2).

Carefully controlled slow addition of iodoalkyne 5 in THF to a suspension of chromium chloride (7 equiv) in THF produced the desired macrocyclic propargylic alcohol 10 as a

2:1 mixture of diastereomers in 88% yield (Scheme 3). These diastereomers were particularly difficult to separate, however, we could enrich the mixture to a 3:1 ratio. Then, a methylation–desilylation sequence afforded the expected propargylic alcohol.

The BMDMS ether 3, prepared under classical silylation conditions, was subjected to reaction with  $Ph_3SnH-AIBN$  (AIBN = azobisisobutyronitrile), followed by Tamao oxidation to lead to the 5,5,5-tricyclic triquinane framework 1 in 45 % yield diastereoselectively (Scheme 4), along with a bicyclic diol 11 as a 2:1 mixture of diastereomers in 12 % yield.

Full characterization by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, COSY, NOE (Scheme 5), IR, MS, HRMS, and elemental analysis unambiguously supports the proposed structures for **1** and **11**.

The formation of triquinane **1** results from the now well-established 5-*exo-dig* process<sup>[5]</sup> from the initial  $\alpha$ -silyl radical **A** to the vinyl radical **B**, which then undergoes the transannular tandem [5-( $\pi$ -*endo*)-*exo-trig*/8-( $\pi$ -*exo*)-*endo-trig*]/[5-*exo-trig*/5-*endo-trig*], allowing the consecutive formation of the tricyclic radical **C**; this finally cyclizes to form the expected tetracyclic radical **D**. The latter follows two competitive processes: a stannane reduction–Tamao oxidation sequence leading to **1** or, alternatively, a minor  $\beta$ -fragmentation process provides the stabilized  $\alpha$ -methoxy and allylic radical **E**. This latter is reduced in an *endo* mode to give the

Scheme 2. Synthesis of precursor 5. DIBAL-H = diisobutylaluminum hydride, DMP = Dess-Martin periodinane, NIS = N-iodosuccinimide

Scheme 3. NHKT macrocyclization of precursor 5 and functional modifications to precursor 3.

3
(2 diastereomers, 3:1)

1) Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, AlBN, benzene, 
$$\Delta$$
2) KHCO<sub>3</sub>, KF, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, THF

11
45% (1 diastereomers)
12% (2 diastereomers, 2:1)
11) Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, 2) Tamao oxidation
11) Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, 2) Tamao oxidation
12 Tamao oxidation
13 Tamao oxidation
14 Tansannular
15 ( $\pi$ -endo)-exo-trig

15 ( $\pi$ -endo)-exo-trig

16 Tansannular
17 OMe

18 Tansannular
18 Tansannular
19 Tansannular
19 Tansannular
10 OMe

11 Tansannular
11 Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, 2) Tamao oxidation
12 Tansannular
13 Tansannular
14 Tansannular
5 ( $\pi$ -endo)-exo-trig
15 Tansannular
16 Tansannular
17 Tansannular
18 Tansannular
19 Tansannular
19 Tansannular
19 Tansannular
10 OMe

10 OMe

11 Tansannular
11 Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH, 2) Tamao oxidation
12 Tansannular
13 Tansannular
14 Tansannular
15 Tansannular
15 Tansannular
16 Tansannular
17 Tansannular
18 Tansannular
18 Tansannular
19 Tansannular
19 Tansannular
10 OMe

Scheme 4. Radical transannular cascade.

$$H_{2}$$
 $H_{3\alpha}$ 
 $H_{4\alpha}$ 
 $H_{4\alpha}$ 

Scheme 5. NOE measurements for stereochemical assignments of  ${\bf 1}$  and  ${\bf 11}$ 

major diastereomer 11, which possesses the less constrained E double bond (Scheme 4).

A model accounting for the stereoselectivity is proposed in Scheme 6. First, cyclization from the major diastereomer 3 leads to the formation of **B**, in which the heterosilane is *anti* to

the methoxy moiety. When the vinylic methyl group is positioned on the  $\beta$ -face, inside the strained macrocycle, the steric hindrance created would lead to the predominance of the proposed conformation B, where the methyl group is outside. Thus, the syn relationship between methyl and methoxy groups could be rationalized. A final 5-exo-trig transannulation (shown on C), directed by thermodynamic requirements, led to the cis-anti-cis triquinane framework of **D**.

The difference of regioselectivity between the cyclizations of vinyl radicals created from precursor 2 and 3 undoubtedly results from the geometric strain generated by the stereochemistry of the double bond. The 6-endo transannular cyclization, which creates a seven-membered unsaturated ring, is al-

lowed when this *endo*-double-bond stereochemistry is Z, as in dienyne  $\mathbf{2}^{[5c]}$  and instead, would become forbidden when an E double bond is included, as in triquinane precursor  $\mathbf{3}$ . This geometric factor exhibits a tremendous influence on the transannular cascade behavior and becomes much more important than the position of the methyl group on the accepting double bond.

In summary, we have reported the first example of a triquinane generation by a diastereoselective series of transannular radical cyclizations. Our synthetic transannular strategy was so efficient that, by using the same cycloundecadienyne framework and just moving the radical trigger from one propargylic position to the other, we have a completely selective entry to either the protoilludane<sup>[4b]</sup> or the triquinane family.

Scheme 6. Model for stereoselectivity.

## Experimental Section

1: To a degassed solution of the BMDMS ether 3 (154 mg, 0.4 mmol) as a 3:1 mixture of diastereomers in 14 mL of dry benzene heated under reflux, was added a solution of Ph<sub>3</sub>SnH (182 mg, 0.52 mmol) and AIBN (20 mg, 0.12 mmol) in 4 mL of dry and degassed benzene by syringe pump (2.12 mL h<sup>-1</sup>). At completion of the addition, and when all starting material was consumed, the benzene was removed under vacuum and the stannylated derivatives were precipitated by stirring in pentane at 0°C. Filtration twice through celite furnished a residue, which was poured into a mixture of THF/MeOH (1:1, 6 mL) with of KHCO<sub>3</sub> (248 mg,

## **COMMUNICATIONS**

2.48 mmol), KF (93 mg, 1.6 mmol), and a solution of  $H_2O_2$  (35 %, 4 mL). After heating for 1 h at 70 °C, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, filtered through Celite, and rinsed 3 times with ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted twice more with ethyl acetate. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO_4$ , and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on a silica-gel column, eluting with 3 % MeOH in  $CH_2Cl_2$  to afford 47 mg of 1 (45 %) and 13 mg of cyclopentane 11 (12 %).

1: Yield: 45%; ¹H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 25°C):  $\delta$  = 5.27 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H; H-2), 4.47 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H; CH<sub>2</sub>OH,), 4.32 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H; CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 4.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H; H-7), 3.15 (s, 3H; OMe), 2.88 (m, 1H, H-6a), 2.38 (dd, J = 11.3 Hz, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H; H-3b), 2.36 (dd, J = 12.1 Hz, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H; H-3), 1.68 (dd, J = 12.1 Hz, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H; H-6), 1.53 (dd, J = 11.9 Hz, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H; H-3'), 1.32 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H; H-4), 1.24 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H; H-6), 1.07 (s, 3H; H-5α), 1.00 (s, 3H; H-3a), 0.92 ppm (s, 3H; H-5β); ¹³C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 50 MHz, 25°C)  $\delta$  = 154.8 (C-7a), 135.4 (C-1), 81.3 (C-7), 75.6 (C-2), 58.3 (CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 56.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 55.0 (C-3), 53.6 (C-3b), 50.8 (C-3a), 49.9 (C-6a), 43.2 (C-5), 41.2 (C-6), 38.2 (C-4), 29.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>-5), 27.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>-5), 23.4 ppm (CH<sub>3</sub>-3a); IR (neat) $\bar{v}$  3450 (br), 2940, 2850, 1650 (br), 1455, 1360, 1090, 995, 760 cm<sup>-1</sup>; elemental analysis calcd (%) for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M = 266.381 gmol<sup>-1</sup>): C 72.14, H 9.83; found : C 72.11, H 9.80; MS (CI, CH<sub>4</sub>): m/z (%) 266 (M<sup>+</sup>, 4%), 265 (M<sup>+</sup>-1, 7%), 249 (M<sup>+</sup>-OH, base peak), 231 (47%), 217 (35%).

Received: May 31, 2002 [Z19418]

- 5064-5067; c) for other references see: A. L. Dhimane, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria in *Radicals in Organic Synthesis, Vol. 2* (Eds.: P. Renaud, M. P. Sibi), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2001**, pp. 350-358.
- [2] a) A. L. J. Beckwith, C. H. Schiesser, Tetrahedron, 1985, 41, 3925–3941; b) R. N. Saicic, Z. Cekovic, Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 7845–7848; c) R. N. Saicic, Z. Cekovic, Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 8975–8992; d) P. Devin, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 6764–6765
- [3] a) A. G. Myers, K. R. Condroski J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 7926–7927; b) A. G. Myers, K. R. Condroski J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3057–3083; c) for other references see A. L. Dhimane, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria in Radicals in Organic Synthesis, Vol. 2 (Eds.: P. Renaud, M. P. Sibi), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2001, pp. 366–380.
- [4] a) J. D. Winkler, V. Sridar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1708 1709;
   b) J. D. Winkler, V. Sridar, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 6219 6222.
- [5] a) G. Agnel, M. Malacria, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, *31*, 3555–3558;
  b) M. Rychlet Elliott, A. L. Dhimane, M. Malacria, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997, *119*, 3427–3428;
  c) C. Aïssa, A. L. Dhimane, M. Malacria, *Synlett* 2000, 1585–1588.
- [6] E-heptenal 6, was prepared stereoselectively in nine steps in a 49% overall yield from commercially available but-3-yn-1-ol; see ref. [5c].
- [7] M. A. Blanchette, W. Choy, J. T. Davies, A. P. Essenfeld, S. Masamune, W. R. Roush, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, 25, 2183–2185.
- [8] D. B. Dess, J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4155-4156.
- [9] V. Dimitrov, K. Kostova, M. Genov, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 6787 6790.
- [10] H. Hofmeister, K. Annen, H. Laurent, R. Wiechert, Angew. Chem. 1984, 96, 720-724; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 727-729

 <sup>[1]</sup> a) D. P. Curran, D. M. Rakiewicz, *Tetrahedron* 1985, 41, 3943 – 3958;
 b) T. L. Fevig, R. L. Elliott, D. P. Curran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998, 120,